# Beat DMV Plan for DUI Probation Hearing

#### **DMV Must Prove:**

order at the time of the test."

- (1) That the officer had probable cause to believe you were DUI;
- (2) That you were [lawfully stopped and] lawfully detained for drinking/driving;
- (3) That you were driving with a BAC of .01% or higher;
- (4) At a time you when you were on probation for a drinking/driving conviction.

(4) At a time you w	men you were on probation for a	rumking, unving conviction.
Defense Number 1:		
Defense Number 2:		
Defense Number 3:		
Defense Number 4:		
Objecting to DMV's E	vidence	
Exhibit Number	Name of Document	
	DS 367	
	Other police report (if any)	
	Accident report (if any)	
	Breath or Blood Test Report (if any)	
When the hearing offic	er asks if you have any objection	ns, state
· ·		ed as Exhibit on the grounds that it states legal conclusions of the officer.
-	hat it contains hearsay, double h	and the accident report marked as Exhibit earsay, lacks foundation and states legal
More specifically, I obje	ect to the use of any PAS or chem	ical test results on the ground that there has

been no showing of scientific foundation under either Title 17 or *People v. Adams* that the officer was competent and qualified, that (s)he administered the test properly or that it was in proper working

## **Cross-examining DMV's Witnesses**

Witness Number 1:	
withess number 1:	

Defense Number	Point to Make	Questions to Ask
(examples)	He didn't see driving	"You didn't see me drive, did you?"
1. No driving		
2. No foundation	He doesn't know if the	You didn't personally test the PAS machine, did you?
for PAS test	machine was working	You didn't personally calibrate the PAS machine?
	properly or not.	It could have been reading too high?
		It could have been reading too low?
		You don't know if it was working properly or not?
•	•	·

Witness Number 2:	

Defense Number	Point to Make	Questions to Ask

## **Presentation of Your Case**

Witness:		
VVILLICOS.		

Point to Make	Questions to Ask or Testimony to Give
Friend of mine was driving	"My friend Tom and I had been to the movies to meet a couple of friends there. Afterward we drank a beer in the parking lot, but we weren't drunk. Because Tom wasn't on probation, he drove us to the 7-Eleven. But when we saw the police car from around the corner, Tom got scared and ran off and left me there. I didn't mention it to the officer because at the time I thought it would get Tom in trouble."
	Friend of mine was driving

### **Closing Argument**

"To sustain the administrative suspension of my driver's license, the Department has the burden of proving four things:

- That the officer had probable cause to believe I had violated the drinking/driving laws;
- (2) That I was lawfully detained for drinking/driving;
- (3) That I was driving with a BAC of .01% or higher;
- (4) At a time when I was on DUI probation.

"The Department has failed to meet its burden of proving Issue Number	[and Issue Number	
].		
As for Issue Number,		
As for Issue Number,		

## [Here's a sample closing argument for the common defense of Lack of Foundation for the PAS]

"As for Issue Number 3, the BAC of 0.01% or greater, the Department has failed in its burden to show BAC because it has failed to establish the scientific foundation under *People v. Adams*. Without the testimony of the arresting officer, the Department has failed to show that the officer was competent and qualified or that the test was administered properly and therefore cannot rely on the PAS results. The Department may try to rely on the hearsay DS367 sworn statement to provide this foundation, but these statements are legal conclusions in a boilerplate form designed to circumvent the foundational requirement. Moreover, without Title 17 to provide the procedure for its use, there is no hearsay exception under Evidence Code section 1280 to allow the use of the PAS results in the DS367 as the sole evidence of BAC."

"Accordingly, I request that the Department set aside the suspension of my driver's license."